



Cal Fire - Forest Practice Program Manager
135 Ridgeway Ave,
Santa Rosa, California 95401
santarosapubliccomment@calfire.ca.gov

August 6, 2015

SUBJECT: THP 1-15-042 SON (**Gualala Redwoods Inc.** “Dogwood” THP)
THP 1-15-033 SON (**Gualala Redwoods Inc.** “Apple” THP)

Dear CAL FIRE:

A coalition of non-profit conservation organizations comprising Madrone Audubon Society, California Native Plant Society (Dorothy King Young Chapter), Friends of the Gualala River, Forest Unlimited, and Northern California River Watch, jointly submit the following comments and recommendations regarding two interrelated Timber Harvest Plans (THPs) by Gualala Redwood Timber LLC (successors in interest to Gualala Redwoods Inc.) bordering and affecting the lower Gualala River and its tributaries, Sonoma and Mendocino County: “Dogwood” THP (1-15-042 SON), primarily sited in a flood prone area of redwood forest; and “Apple” THP (1-15-033 SON), primarily sited on steep erosion-prone slopes above the lower Gualala River and tributaries.

The authorization of proposed “Dogwood” THP would require an extreme and unjustified exception to Anadromous Salmonid Protection Rules (ASP Rules; Section 916.9) of the Forest Practices Rules that require avoidance of logging disturbances and water drafting in “flood prone” areas. Over 300 acres of the “Dogwood” timber harvest are located in flood prone redwood forest of the lower Gualala River’s alluvial floodplain. It does not avoid or minimize logging disturbance in flood prone areas; it *maximizes* the THP footprint in flood prone areas, contrary to the ASP Rules. In addition,

CBD, CNPS DKY, FoGR, Forest Unlimited, Madrone Audubon Society, River Watch, Sierra Club
Redwood Chapter
THP 1-15-042 & 033 SON comments

the “Dogwood” THP provides no analysis of public interest or overriding considerations relevant to Forest Practice Rules and Board of Forestry policy that justifies such an extreme exception (in effect, an inversion) of the ASP Rules and their purposes. Similarly, the “Dogwood” THP disregards the science-based policy recommendations of CAL FIRE’s *Flood Prone Area Considerations in the Coast Redwood Zone*” report (Cafferata *et al.* 2005).

The “Dogwood” THP also failed to analyze timber harvest impacts to critically important floodplain functions affecting water quality, sediment trapping, and ephemeral salmonid feeding habitat – ecosystem services that were expressly identified as necessary for analysis in CAL FIRE’s Cafferata *et al.* 2005 Flood Prone Area Considerations report. Finally, the “Dogwood” THP provides no rational alternatives analysis that justifies, from CAL FIRE or Forest Practice Rules or any other public/private interest balancing perspective, why alternatives that avoid or at least minimize disturbances in flood prone forest were not analyzed.

Because the “Dogwood” THP requests such an extreme precedent-setting exception to ASP Rule goals, and with such inadequate and unacceptable justification (based on Forest Practice Rules, relevant CAL FIRE scientific reports, sound science, and Board of Forestry policies), we strongly urge CAL FIRE to elevate this THP for policy review by the Board of Forestry and CAL FIRE Sacramento office.

The “Dogwood” THP without explanation declined to provide even minimal current survey data for nearly all special-status fish, wildlife, and plant species (including state-listed and federally listed species) that either have occurred, or are known to occur, or are expected to occur, in the THP area. The “Dogwood” THP should be suspended until such essential basic biological survey data are provided, assessed, and rigorously reviewed by responsible state and federal fish and wildlife agencies, the public, and conservation non-profit organizations. Without such basic biological baseline data, the “Dogwood” THP should be denied without prejudice because the application is incomplete.

The “Dogwood” THP applications should otherwise be denied on its merits because it fails to adequately mitigate significant impacts to multiple special-status fish, wildlife, and plant species (including marbled murrelets, northern spotted owl, California red-legged frog, western pond turtle, coho salmon, steelhead, coast lily, California bellflower, and other species).

One of the most unacceptable public interest impacts of the proposed “Dogwood” THP is the location of “Unit 1” timber harvest in close proximity (effectively adjacent) to Gualala Point Regional Parks (Sonoma County Regional Parks), the primary public access and recreational area of the entire Gualala River. The logging of Unit 1, particularly without any mitigation proposed, would be an unacceptable public nuisance. The THP is negligent in failing to analyze obvious significant impacts of logging Unit 1 on campgrounds and visitors using Gualala Point Regional Park. In addition, the

“Dogwood” THP fails to analyze recreational impacts (fishing, scenic views, boating) to visitor access to and enjoyment of the river bed protected by public trust (Section 4 of Article X of the California Constitution, California Code Sections 66478.1-66478.14)

Another grave defect in the “Dogwood” THP, and the related adjacent “Apple” THP with which it shares water drafting, roads, and THP boundaries, is its failure to avoid unnecessary water drafting in flood prone areas, as is required under the Anadromous Salmonid Protection Rules. The THPs merely claim, without explanation or evidence, that it is not feasible to avoid water drafting in protected flood prone areas. Yet the “Apple” THP discloses that GRI in the past has used alternative dust-suppressant road treatments and may do so again. The THPs fail to analyze impacts of drafting up to 25,000 gallons per day (GPD) from the Gualala River during minimum summer baseflow periods when the river flows below critical minimum levels. The THPs cite only a 2010 hydrologic study that only 2006-2009 water years, and concluded only that “typical” water drafting up to 20,000 GPD (significantly less than 25,000 GPD proposed in the “Dogwood” THP) would have no effects. There was no analysis of impacts of 25,000 GPD water drafting during a multi-year drought when the river is nearly drained, as it is now. Water drafting of this magnitude in a critical drought year would have significant impacts whenever the river flows are already below critical thresholds.

We are also concerned that the THPs likely have disregarded impacts to two known prehistoric Southern Pomo village sites, Kubahmoi and Kabētēyo, located “...near south bank Rockpile creek at its confluence with Gualala River” and “...near east bank Gualala River about a mile and a quarter upstream from confluence of Rock Pile Creek...”, respectively. One or both of these approximate locations, and their related camp sites (also named and historically recorded) are likely either within or near THP areas. The THPs do not disclose the potential impacts to these prehistoric villages to enable meaningful public comment (including living descendants of these Pomo villages). In contrast, CAL FIRE required recirculation of a timber conversion EIR upstream above the Gualala River because of deficiencies in analysis of timber conversions near but not even including prehistoric Pomo village sites. CAL FIRE must disclose (non-confidential published information), analyze and fully mitigate significant potential impacts to archaeological and cultural resources of outstanding significance like these prehistoric Pomo village sites. It should consult with expert archeologists and tribal historic preservation officers (Kashia, Manchester, and related southern Pomo tribes) regarding THP impacts and report their non-confidential conclusions for public review.

Conclusions and Recommendations

We strongly recommend that CAL FIRE *suspend the “Dogwood” THP review process* and require that the applicant, GRT, *resubmit a complete and adequate THP* for public recirculation, including:

- a rigorous and objective alternatives analysis that addresses flood prone area protection

- current special-status species surveys (not deferred post-permit surveys) acceptable to fish and wildlife agencies
- analysis of hydrology, geomorphic, and ecological impacts to the flood plain and river (with emphasis on sediment, water quality, and salmonids)
- analysis of impacts to archaeological and cultural resources (non-confidential aspects)
- analysis of recreational impacts to Gualala Point Regional Park and river recreation under public trust access
- results of policy-level review by Board of Forestry and Sacramento CAL FIRE office, specifically addressing the THP's requested major exceptions to Anadromous Salmonid Protection Rules for flood prone areas.

We also urge CAL FIRE to consult with Sonoma County Regional Parks regarding protection of natural resources and visitor experience of the Gualala Point Regional Park areas adjacent to Unit 1 of the THP.

Thank you for your attention. We anticipate future CAL FIRE review and decisions in the public interest, consistent with Board of Forestry policy and Forest Protection Rules.

Respectfully submitted,



Nancy Morin, President,
California Native Plant Society,
Dorothy King Young Chapter
Nancy.Morin@nau.edu

Diane Hichwa, Conservation Chair,
Madrone Audubon Society
dhichwa@earthlink.net



Justin Augustine,
 Senior Attorney,
 Center for Biological Diversity
jaugustine@biologicaldiversity.org



Chris Poehlmann
 President, **Friends of Gualala River**
chrispoehlmann@gmail.com

Rick Coates,
 Executive Director, **Forest Unlimited**
rcoates@sonic.net



Victoria Brandon,
 Chair, **Sierra Club Redwood Chapter**



Larry Hanson, Manager,
Northern California River Watch
larryjhanson@comcast.net

CBD, CNPS DKY, FoGR, Forest Unlimited, Madrone Audubon Society, River Watch, Sierra Club Redwood Chapter
 THP 1-15-042 & 033 SON comments

Copies furnished:

State Senator Mike McGuire

Hon. Jared Huffman

California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Yountville

Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 1, Santa Rosa

NOAA Fisheries, Santa Rosa

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arcata Fish & Wildlife Office

Sonoma County Supervisor Efren Carrillo, Santa Rosa

Sonoma County Regional Parks, Santa Rosa

Independent Coast Observer, Gualala

Interested parties

CBD, CNPS DKY, FoGR, Forest Unlimited, Madrone Audubon Society, River Watch, Sierra Club
Redwood Chapter
THP 1-15-042 & 033 SON comments